Handling hybrid and missing data in constraint-based causal discovery to study the etiology of ADHD

Elena Sokolova, Daniel von Rhein, Jilly Naaijen, Perry Groot, Tom Claassen, Jan Buitelaar and Tom Heskes Radboud University, Nijmegen The Netherlands



# Does wine drinking prevent heart disease?

Wine drinking and lower rate of heart disease are associated

















# A way to learn causality

- 1. Take randomly 200 people
- 2. Randomly split them in **controls** and **treatment** groups
- 3. Force treatment group to drink wine, forbid control group to drink wine
- 4. Wait 40 years
- 5. Measure correlation

# [Randomized Controlled Trial]



#### Can we learn causal relationships from observed data?

	1795.63 8.49 . 0.05%	4443.97	
	1791.97 4.83 • 0.976	2916 60 4 90 4 0.23%	
	1795.09 -0.54 0 000	1112 11 071	1787.63 8.40
	767.89 0.01 ▲ 0.00	Sas 1787.63 8.49 A G San	1791.97, 6 85
	778.33 1.34 • 0 17	1791.97 4.83 4 0.27%	1205 00 04
	4443.87 7.63 4 0.239	1295.09 -0.54 ▼ 0.134	TCODUCE 1
	2916.60 -4.89 V 0.16%	767.89 0.01 A 0.10%	767.85 0.01
9	1112.11 -0.73 ▼ 0.05%	100.33 1 34 ▲ 0.17%	700.33 1.34
	1787.63 8.49 ▲ 0.38%	416 60 -6 89 V 0.055	4443.67
	1791.97 4.83 ▲ 0.27%	412.11 -0.73 ▼ 0.15%	2916 60 -4.81
9	1295.09 -0.54 7 0.13%	6 795.63 8,49 ▲ 0.48%	
0	767.89 0.01 ▲ 0.109	6 791.97 4.83 ▲ 0.275	1112.11-0.0
	700.33 1.34 4 0.179	6 795.09 -0.54 V 0.057	
	443'83 5.63 ▲ 0.23°	6 767.89 0.01 A 0.17%	1787 63
	416,60 -6.89 ▼ 0.069	0 / (8.33 c) (STE	1791.97
	112 11 -0.73 ▼ 0.157		

Yes!



# **Conditional Independence**

**X** and **Y** are conditionally independent given **Z**: Given **Z** 

- knowledge of X provides no information for Y
- knowledge of Y provides no information for X







# **Conditional Independence**

**X** and **Y** are conditionally independent given **Z**: Given **Z** 

- knowledge of X provides no information for Y
- knowledge of Y provides no information for X

Ζ





# **Conditional Independence**

**X** and **Y** are conditionally independent given **Z**: Given **Z** 

- knowledge of X provides no information for Y
- knowledge of Y provides no information for X

Ζ





#### Learning causal network

Bayesian constraint-based causal discovery:

- Uses Bayesian approach to estimate the reliability of the causal statements, avoiding propagation of unreliable decisions

T. Claassen, T. Heskes. A Bayesian approach to constraint based causal inference. In UAI 2012



# BCCD

Basic idea:

- **Step 0** Start with a fully connected graph.
- Step 1 Estimate the reliability of a causal statement  $(X \rightarrow Y)$  using Bayesian score.
- Step 2 If a causal statement declares a variable conditionally independent, delete an edge.
- Step 3 Rank all causal statements and orient edges in the graph.



#### BCCD

The reliability of the causal statement *L* given the data **D** using Bayesian score:

$$p(L|D) = \frac{\sum_{\mathcal{M} \in M(L)} p(D|\mathcal{M}) p(\mathcal{M})}{\sum_{\mathcal{M} \in M} p(D|\mathcal{M}) p(\mathcal{M})}$$

There is a closed form solution for  $p(D|\mathcal{M})$ :

- Discrete random variables BD metric
- Continuous Gaussian variables BGe metric



# BCCD

Advantages of the method:

- Robust
- Can handle latent variables
- Gives an indication whether an edge does exist or not

Limitation of the method:

- Works only with discrete variables or Gaussian variables
- Cannot handle missing values





#### **Undirected graphs**

- Precision matrix- inverse of correlation matrix
- Precision matrix the set of conditional independencies
- Add sparsity constraints





#### **Undirected graphs**

Glasso to find optimum

$$\begin{split} \Theta_{\lambda} &= \operatorname{argmax}_{\Theta} \left\{ \underbrace{\operatorname{logdet}(\Theta) - \operatorname{tr}(\Theta S)}_{\mathsf{Goodness of fit}} - \frac{\lambda \|\Theta\|_{1}}{\mathsf{Sparsity penalty}} \right\} \end{split}$$

- $\Theta = \Sigma^{-1}$  inverse of correlation matrix
- S- empirical correlation matrix
- Spearman instead of Pearson partial correlation
- Adjust Spearman correlation, to make it closer to Pearson
- Shift correlation matrix to the closest one if it is negative definite
- Use EM if there are missing values



#### **Assumptions**

- Data is a mixture of discrete and continuous variables
- Data is missing completely at random (MCR)
- Relationships between variables are monotonic, i.e. variables follow a so-called non paranormal distribution





#### **Method extension**

• BIC score:

ullet



- Use Spearman instead of Pearson
- Use EM if there are missing values



# **Simulated data**

- Waste Incinerator Network,  $x^3$  transformed
- Sample size: 100, 250, 500, 1000
- Estimated PAG accuracy, precision, and recall





# 0% missing





#### 5%, 30% missing BCCD



# 5%, 30% missing PC





#### Conclusions

- EM performs better than other methods when there is a significant amount of missing values
- Spearman adjusted leads to unstable matrix and many spurious edges



#### Real world Data set, ADHD MID task

Type of data:

- Genetic information (NOS1, DAT1)
- Brain activation (OFC, VS, anticipation and feedback)
- Behavioral (symptoms, aggression, reaction time, IQ)
- General (age, gender)



![](_page_22_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **Assumptions**

- Assumed that missing values are missing at random
- Combined two types of symptoms assessments: by parents and by psychiatrist.
- Incorporated prior knowledge that nothing can cause:
  - Gender
  - Feedback VS is not caused by HI

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Real world Data set, ADHD reversal task

Type of data:

- Experiment related (lose shift, win stay, error)
- Behavioral (symptoms, IQ)
- General (age, gender)

![](_page_25_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_6.jpeg)

# **Assumptions**

- Assumed that missing values are missing at random
- Incorporated prior knowledge that nothing can cause:
  - Gender

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Conclusions and Future work**

- Extension of the BCCD algorithm for mixtures of discrete and continuous variables
- Works well under the assumption of non paranormal data and values MAR
- Further developments:
  - More complex relationships
  - Longitudinal data

![](_page_28_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Thank you for your attention!

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)